



MUNISIPALITEIT THEEWATERSKLOOF MUNICIPALITY

[31 MAART / MARCH 2026]

SPEZIALE RAADSVERGADERING /
SPECIAL COUNCIL MEETING

NOTULE / MINUTES

31 MAART / MARCH 2026

MUNISIPALITEIT THEEWATERSKLOOF MUNICIPALITY

SPEZIALE RAADSVERGADERING /
SPECIAL COUNCIL MEETING

NOTULE / MINUTES

[31 MAART / MARCH 2026]

ITEM NR	ITEM BESKRYWING / DESCRIPTION	BLADSY / PAGE NR
A.	<u>OPENING: VERWELKOMING /</u> <u>OPENING: WELCOME</u>	1
B.	<u>VERKIESING VAN SPEAKER</u> <i>(indien nodig)</i> <u>ELECTION OF SPEAKER</u> <i>(if necessary)</i>	
C.	<u>BYWONINGSREGISTER</u> <u>ATTENDANCE REGISTER</u>	
C.1	Teenwoordig / Present	1 – 2
C.2	Aansoek(e) om verlof tot afwesigheid / Application(s) for leave of absence	2
D.	<u>VERSLAE VOORGELê DEUR DIE KANTOOR VAN</u> <u>DIE MUNISIPALE BESTUURDER</u> <u>REPORTS SUBMITTED BY THE OFFICE OF</u> <u>THE MUNICIPAL MANAGER</u>	
SC01/2026	Strategic Support and IDP: Amendment of the 2022-2027 five-year Integrated Development Plan [15/1/5/1]	3 – 8
E.	<u>VERSLAE VOORGELê DEUR DIE DIREKTORAAT</u> <u>FINANSIES</u> <u>REPORTS SUBMITTED BY THE DIRECTORATE</u> <u>FINANCE</u>	
SC02/2026	Consideration and Approval of the Draft Budget 2026/2027 and indicative for the projected two outer years 2027/2028 and 2028/2029 [5/1/1-2026/2027; 5/1/1-2027/2028; 5/1/1 - 2028/2029]	9 – 15
F.	<u>VERDAGING /</u> <u>ADJOURNMENT</u>	

THEEWATERSKLOOF MUNISIPALITEIT/ MUNICIPALITY

NOTULE VAN 'N SPESIALE RAADSVERGADERING GEHOU OP 31 MAART 2026 OM 11:00 IN DIE RAADSAAL MUNISIPALE KANTORE, CALEDON.

MINUTES OF A SPECIAL COUNCIL MEETING HELD ON 31 MARCH 2026 AT 11:00 IN THE COUNCIL CHAMBERS MUNICIPAL OFFICES, CALEDON.

A. OPENING EN VERWELKOMING

Die Voorsitter, Speaker W.H. Wells, verwelkom almal teenwoordig en open die vergadering met gebed.

Pastoor J Brinkhuys open die vergadering met skriflesing en gebed.

OPENING AND WELCOME

The Chairperson, Speaker WH Wells, welcomes everyone present.

Apostle J Brinkhuys opened the meeting with a scripture and prayer.

B. VERKIESING VAN WNDE SPEAKER (INDIEN NODIG)/ ELECTION OF ACTING SPEAKER (IF NECESSARY)

Nie van toepassing nie / Not applicable.

C. BYWONINGSREGISTER/ATTENDANCE REGISTER

C.1 Teenwoordig/Present Raadslede/Councillors

Raadsheer/Alderman LM de Bruyn
Raadsheer/Alderman CC Clayton
Raadsheer/Alderman WH Wells
Raadsheer/Alderman DA Appel
Raadslid/Councillor CA Benjamin
Raadslid/Councillor M Botes
Raadslid/Councillor CT Cloete
Raadslid/Councillor DA Jacobs
Raadslid/Councillor D Jooste
Raadslid/Councillor H Linnerts
Raadslid/Councillor JD Lekhori
Raadslid/Councillor TP Lemina
Raadsheer/Alderman BB Mkhwibiso
Raadslid/Councillor M Mpambani
Raadslid/Councillor MA Nomkoko
Raadsheer/Alderman MR Nongxaza
Raadslid/Councillor FO Ntantiso

Raadslid/Councillor V Papier
Raadsheer/Alderman M Plato-Mentoor
Raadslid/Councillor MS Shale
Raadslid/Councillor J Smit
Raadslid/Councillor C Smith
Raadslid/Councillor YM van Tonder
Raadslid/Councillor TB Zimmermann

2

Amptenare / Officials

Mnr./Mr GW Hermanus	(Wnde Munisipale Bestuurder) (Acting Municipal Manager)
Me./Ms N Baliso	(Direkteur: Ekonomiese Ontwikkeling en Beplanning) (Director: Economic Development and Planning)
Mnr./Mr WSE Solomons- Johannes	(Direkteur: Gemeenskapsdienste) (Director: Community Services)
Me./Ms J Colyn	(Namens Wnde Direkteur: Finansies) (On behalf of the Acting Director: Finance)
Mnr./Mr Z Benjamin	(Adjunk-Direkteur: Elektriese Dienste) (Deputy Director: Electrical Services)
Mnr./Mr HM Gxoyiya	(Senior Bestuurder: Regsdienste) (Senior Manager: Legal Services)
Me./Ms M Faul	(Bestuurder: Korporatiewe Dienste) (Manager: Corporate Services)
Me./Ms. F Ngxowa	(Assistent Vertaler) (Assistant Translator)
Me./Ms S Baron	(Sekretariaatdienste) (Secretariat Services)

C.2 Aansoek(e) om verlof tot afwesigheid:
Application(s) for leave of absence:

Rdsh/Aldm S Fredericks	Siekverlof / Sick leave
Rdsh/Aldm M Plato-Mentoor	
Rdl/Cllr P Stander	Siekverlof / Sick leave

Afwesig sonder verskoning
Absent without an apology

Rdl./Cllr M Gana

**D. VERSLAE VOORGELê DEUR KANTOOR VAN DIE MUNISIPALE
BESTUURDER**
REPORTS SUBMITTED BY OFFICE OF THE MUNICIPAL MANAGER

ITEM HEADING

3

SC01/2026 OFFICE OF MUNICIPAL MANAGER: DEPARTMENT STRATEGIC SUPPORT AND IDP: AMENDMENT OF THE 2022-2027 FIVE YEAR INTEGRATED DEVELOPMENT PLAN

[English version of the report is the original]

FILE NUMBER

15/1/5/1

PURPOSE / AIM OF REPORT

The purpose of this report is to obtain council approval to amend the 2022/2027 Integrated Development Plan taking the approval and implementation of the Financial Recovery Plan and the approval of the Spatial Development Framework into consideration.

BACKGROUND

Section 34 of the Municipal Systems Act: Annual review and Amendment of the integrated development Plan reads as follows:

A municipal council-

- (a) must review its integrated development plan-
 - (i) Annually in accordance with an assessment of its performance measures in terms of sec 41; and
 - (ii) To the extent that changing circumstances so demand; and
- (b) may amend its integrated development plan in accordance with a prescribed process.

Regulation 3 of Local Government: Municipal Planning and Performance Management Regulations of 2001 states:

- 3. (1) Only a member or committee of a municipal council may introduce a proposal for amending the municipality's integrated development plan in the council.
- (2) Any process for amending a municipality's integrated development plan must be-
 - (a) accompanied by a memorandum setting out the reasons for the proposal; and
 - (b) aligned with the framework adopted in terms of section 27 of the Act.

- (3) An amendment to a municipal's integrated development plan is adopted by a decision taken by a municipal council in accordance with the rules and orders of the council.
- (4) No amendment to a municipality's integrated development plan may be adopted by a municipal council unless-
 - (a) all the members of the council have been given reasonable notice;
 - (b) the proposed amendment has been published for public comment for a period of at least 21 days in a manner that allows the public an opportunity to make representations with regards to the proposed amendment;
 - (c) the municipality if it is a district municipality has complied with sub-regulation (5); and
 - (d) the municipality; if it is a local municipality; has complied with sub-regulation 6
- (5) A district municipality that considers an amendment to its integrated development plan must-
 - (a) consult all the local municipalities in the area of the district municipality on the proposed amendment; and
 - (b) take all comments submitted to it by the local municipalities in that area into account before it takes a final decision on the proposed amendment.
- (6) A local municipality that considers an amendment to its integrated development plan must-
 - (a) consult the district municipality in whose area it falls on the proposed amendment; and
 - (b) take all comments submitted to it by the district municipality into account before it takes a final decision on the proposed amendment.

DISCUSSION

Aspects to consider as part of the IDP amendment/review process – Status quo

The current planning legislation does not set out the steps to be followed in conducting the review of an IDP other than determining what must inform such a review. Municipalities have therefore followed varied approaches to conducting their reviews and often this has led to the adoption of a "Reviewed IDP".

The revised IDP Guidelines issued by the Department of Cooperative Governance in 2020 started to unpack what a review entails. It states that on an annual basis municipalities are required to review how they have performed against the pre-determined objectives outlined in the IDP.

Whilst the MSA requires a municipality to consider changing circumstances, the 2020 revised Guidelines do not elaborate on the issue other than referring to key questions to be considered when reviewing an IDP, significant changes in relation to budget availability, the spatial layout of an area and changes that impact on projects, programmes or the livelihood of communities.

The proposed 2021 amendments to the MSA Regulations provide that:

- An IDP Review
- must be done by the council;
- take place before the deadline set by the mayor in terms of section 21(1)(a)(i) of the Municipal Finance Management Act, 2003 (MFMA); and
- allow sufficient time for the participation of the local community in the review of the IDP.

The proposed amendments also state that the review process must consider any changed circumstances including changes to national, provincial and local priorities; new or updated policies or events that may necessitate the amendment of an IDP.

Aspects to consider as part of the IDP amendment/review process – Guidance

As part of the review, municipalities must therefore assess the progress made in the implementation of their IDPs against the performance targets set out in the IDPs and their top layer Service Delivery and Budget Implementation Plan and identify any areas of concern where implementation is lacking based on the assessment. Furthermore, municipalities must consider whether the identified areas of concern are material to impact the strategic direction of a municipality and therefore may require an amendment.

Possible changed circumstances:

- Changes in population demographics.
- Changes in economic conditions.
- Changes in land use patterns.
- Natural disasters.
- Changes in government priorities, policies or laws.
- Changes in funding or resources.

Outcome of the amendment/review process – Status quo

The practice to date has entailed the tabling and adoption of a “Reviewed IDP” as the outcome of the IDP review process, notwithstanding that there is no such provision in the MSA.

The MSA only provides for:

In the beginning of a new term of office of a municipal council

- the adoption of a **5-year IDP**; or
- the adoption of the **predecessors IDP**, with or without amendment.

In the inner-years of the 5-year planning cycle.

The adoption of an amended IDP following an IDP review.

Adjusted IDP (section 32(2) and (3) of the MSA.

Outcome of the amendment/review process – Guidance

The 2020 revised Guidelines provide that a report to the council which should indicate areas in which the IDP needs to be amended (if the review outcome is that an amendment is required), should ideally be tabled when the annual report is tabled i.e., January. However, municipalities in the Western Cape have indicated a preference to submit such a report at the time of tabling the draft IDP.

In summary, the outcome of the annual review of an IDP is not the tabling and adoption of a “Reviewed IDP”, but a report to a municipal council documenting the outcome of the review (having considered the performance of the municipality and considering any changed circumstances) with a recommendation whether the IDP is to be amended or not. Based on the report, it then remains the prerogative of a municipal council to decide whether the IDP should be amended.

The beforementioned report, ideally, should be the annual report that council adopted on 19 March 2026.

Whether to amend an IDP or not – Status Quo

Given the void in legislation regarding IDP amendment, there have been differing interpretations of what constitutes an IDP amendment. These interpretations include:

- Any changes to an adopted IDP following an IDP review irrespective of their minuteness constitute an amendment that necessitates that the prescribed IDP amendment process outlined in the MSA Regulations be followed.
- Only changes to the strategic objectives or the overall strategic direction as set out in an IDP constitute an amendment.
- Only significant changes to an IDP warrant an amendment.

The 2020 revised Guidelines state that minor changes do not warrant an IDP amendment and that only significant changes should result in the amendment of an IDP. Furthermore, the 2020 Guidelines state that IDP amendments should only be required under exceptional circumstances and should not be done without significant justification. There is no indication in the 2020 Guidelines what constitutes significant changes in budgetary implications in terms of allocations and projections.

Whether to amend an IDP or not – Guidance

An IDP review and the documented outcome thereof is the main determinant when deciding whether to amend an IDP and not the differing interpretations of what constitutes an amendment as alluded to above. Whilst the legislation does not make provision for what constitutes an IDP amendment, it is the prerogative of a municipal council to decide whether it wants to amend its IDP.

Guideline – Summary

In summary, whilst it is the prerogative of a municipal council to decide whether to amend its IDP, such a decision must be informed by an assessment of its performance against the pre-determined objectives outlined in the IDP and an assessment of any changed circumstances.

If the assessment of the performance of the municipality indicates that it is on track to meet its strategic objectives and there are no changed circumstances to consider, there might be no need to amend the IDP. However, if such assessment reflects otherwise, the municipal council might have to re-evaluate its strategy, and if in the view that an IDP amendment is required, then the prescribed amendment process is outlined in the MSA Regulations must be followed.

TWK status of TWK IDP

The IDP was amended to accommodate the amended Spatial Development Framework and the Financial Recovery Plan approved by Council.

It should be noted that the municipality is in process of reviewing and amending its organizational structure that could necessitate another amendment to the IDP.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS (ITEM AUTHOR)

None.

LEGAL IMPLICATIONS (ITEM AUTHOR)

Section 25, 34, 35 of the Municipal Systems Act.

RISK MANAGEMENT IMPLICATION (ITEM AUTHOR)

None.

RECOMMENDATION BY ITEM AUTHOR:

It is recommended:

- 1. That Council approves the amendments to the Draft amended IDP 2022/2027 in terms of section 34 of the MSA.**
- 2. That Council is satisfied that all legislative requirements have been met.**
- 3. That Council notes that copies of the Draft amended IDP 2022/2027 will be distributed and made public in accordance with the legislative framework and guidelines.**
- 4. That Council notes that copies of the Draft amended IDP 2022/2027 will be circulated to all required organs of state.**
- 5. That Council notes that the Draft amended IDP 2022/2027 will be advertised for public comment for period of not less than 21 days.**
- 6. That Council notes that all comments received relating to the Draft amended IDP and Draft Budget will be presented to the Budget Steering Committee and Council for consideration.**

After the Chairperson had given the Councillors an opportunity, and the item had been thoroughly discussed, on a proposal by Alderman LM de Bruyn and seconded by Councillor CT Cloete it was resolved as follows:

- 1. Council approves the amendments to the Draft amended IDP 2022/2027 in terms of section 34 of the MSA with the additional amendment that the information of all the Councillors also be included in the document.**
- 2. Council is satisfied that all legislative requirements have been met.**
- 3. Council noted that copies of the Draft amended IDP 2022/2027 will be distributed and made public in accordance with the legislative framework and guidelines.**
- 4. Council noted that copies of the Draft amended IDP 2022/2027 will be circulated to all required organs of state.**
- 5. Council noted that the Draft amended IDP 2022/2027 will be advertised for public comment for period of not less than 21 days.**
- 6. Council noted that all comments received relating to the Draft amended IDP and Draft Budget will be presented to the Budget Steering Committee and Council for consideration.**

For finalization by the Manager: Corporate Services, Ms M Faul.

The Executive Mayor, Alderman LM de Bruyn, presented the processes which was followed for the compilation of the 2026/2027 Draft Budget to Council.

ITEM HEADING

SC02/2026 DIRECTORATE FINANCE: CONSIDERATION AND APPROVAL OF THE DRAFT BUDGET 2026/2027 AND INDICATIVE FOR THE PROJECTED TWO OUTER YEARS 2027/2028 AND 2028/2029

[English version of the report is the original]

FILE NUMBER

5/1/1-2026/2027; 5/1/1-2027/2028; 5/1/1 - 2028/2029

PURPOSE / AIM OF REPORT

This report serves to submit to Council for consideration the MTREF for 2026/2027, proposed tariffs, and amendments to budget related policies, the IDP, Draft Service Delivery and Budget Implementation Plan as well as the Draft Service Standards.

BACKGROUND

In accordance with section 16 of the Municipal Finance Management Act, No 56 of 2003, the Municipal Council must at least 90 days before the start of the budget year consider approval of the annual budget.

DISCUSSION

As contained in the detailed report.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS (ITEM AUTHOR)

As contained in the detailed report.

LEGAL IMPLICATIONS (ITEM AUTHOR)

Section 16 of the Municipal Finance Management Act, 56 of 2003 and the Municipal Budget and Reporting Regulations.

RISK MANAGEMENT IMPLICATION (ITEM AUTHOR)

None.

RECOMMENDATION BY ITEM AUTHOR:

It is recommended:

- 1. That Council resolves that the Draft Annual Operating Budget of the municipality for the financial year 2026/2027 and indicative for the two projected Outer Years 2027/2028 and 2028/2029 be approved as set out on Tables A1, A2, A3 and A4.**
- 2. That Council resolves that the Draft Annual Capital Budget of the municipality for the financial year 2026/2027 and indicative for the two projected Outer Years 2027/2028 and 2028/2029 be approved as set out on Tables A1, A5 and SA36.**
- 3. That Council resolves that the Monthly Cash Flow Forecasts with appropriate amendments be approved as the Cash Flow Budget of the Council for the 2026/2027 financial year as set out on Tables A1 and A7.**
- 4. That Council resolves to adopt the “Spatial Development Framework” as part of the Integrated Development Plan, as regulated by the Municipal Systems Act (Act 32 of 2000), a directed in terms of Section 22 of the Bill on the Land Use Planning Act.**
- 5. That Council resolves that the Draft Tariff Charges are approved for the Financial Year 2026/2027. (Annexure A).**
- 6. That the Final Monthly Indigent Subsidy in respect of 6kl Water, 70KwH Electricity, Refuse and Sewer are approved and that the applicable free basic services subsidies be calculated on the approved tariffs for the applicable services and measurable units.**
- 7. That it be noted that “Unfunded Functions” and “Underfunded Functions” are fully budgeted for at present service levels and in respect of Housing.**
- 8. That note is taken that Internal Division of Costs (Departmental Charges) are calculated based on expected budgeted time spent, measurable units/quantities, cost, and that tariffs are determined accordingly.**
- 9.1 All Budget related Policies were reviewed and that Council resolves to adopt the following Amendments to the Policies as per Annexure B**
 - 9.1.1 Rates Policy**
 - 9.1.2 Credit and Debt Collection Policy**
 - 9.1.3 Writing-Off of Irrecoverable Debt**
 - 9.1.4 Indigent Policy**
 - 9.1.5 Supply Chain Management Policy**
 - 9.1.6 Supply Chain Management Preferential Procurement Regulations**
 - 9.1.7 Short-Term Insurance Policy**
 - 9.1.8 Asset Management Policy**

9.2 That Council take note that the following Budget related policies have been reviewed internally with no amendments:

- 9.2.1 Borrowing Policy**
- 9.2.2 Creditor Policy**
- 9.2.3 Virement Policy**
- 9.2.4 Budget Policy**
- 9.2.5 Payroll Management and Administration Policy**
- 9.2.6 Infrastructure Policy**
- 9.2.7 Funding and Reserves Policy**
- 9.2.8 Cash Management and Investment Policy**
- 9.2.9 Tariff Policy**
- 9.2.10 Special Ratings Area Policy**
- 9.2.11 Cost Containment Policy**

9.3 That Council takes note of the interrelated policy procedures/guidelines:

- 9.3.1 South African Local Government Bargaining Council – Main Collective Agreement**

- 10. That Council resolves that the Draft Procurement Plan is approved for the Financial Year 2026/2027 (Annexure C).**
- 11. That Council resolves that the updated Long Term Financial Plan are approved for the Financial Year 2026/2027. (Annexure D).**
- 12. Council takes cognizance of the letter received from Provincial Treasury (Annexure E).**
- 13. That Council resolves that the Draft Tariff and Property Rates model for municipalities are approved for the Financial Year 2026/2027 (Annexure F).**
- 14. The Council grants approval for the submission of the proposed electricity tariffs to NERSA (Annexure G).**
- 15. That Council takes cognizance of the Service Standards (Annexure H).**
- 16. Council takes cognizance that the assessment for cost-reflective tariffs as per the National Treasury tool will occur only following the approval of the Draft Budget and will be presented during the Final Budget approval.**
- 17. Council takes cognizance the initial submission of the Inventory budget amounting to R 2.4 million; however, after thorough examination, it has been reduced to R 1.4 million to allocate funds solely for essential items.**
- 18. The Council takes cognizance that the ratio of employee-related costs is determined to be 35% of the budget amount, and that an initial staggered approach has been implemented regarding the funded vacant positions.**

DISCUSSION DURING MEETING:**Mr A Riddles:**

- As per NERSA requirements it was necessary for all Municipalities to follow an additional public participation process just for the electricity tariffs.
- This will also form part of the cost of supply report.
- A signed letter and all relevant documents must be forwarded to NERSA by 31 March 2026.

Alderman D Appel:

- NERSA proposed a 9.01% tariff increase. Can Theewaterskloof Municipality absorb the 8% tariff increase?
- What is the reason for the cut in the CAPEX?

Mr A Riddles:

- Theewaterskloof Municipality can absorb the cost with a tariff increase for electricity of 8%.
- Reason for underperformance of grants and roll-overs is that the Municipality does not have co-funding or own funding for projects available.

Councillor TB Zimmermann:

- A submission of a member of the public was received during the public participation period with calculations reference to 8.8% for electricity tariffs.
- Will the Community have another chance to comment?
- Will it be discussed by Council again?

Mr A Riddles:

- During the public participation process scheduled in April 2026, the Community will be allowed to respond to all tariffs in the 2026/2027 Draft Budget.
- 8% is the waited outcome of different tariff groups in electricity based on all consumers
- On 14 May 2026 the comments will be discussed by Council at a Workshop.
- A Budget Steering Committee Meeting is scheduled for the 18th of May 2026 where the responses will be discussed.

Alderman LM de Bruyn:

- Council must note that this is a balanced budget with a surplus, but it is still an unfunded budget.

RESOLVED BY COUNCIL: 31 MARCH 2026

After the Chairperson had given the Councillors an opportunity, and the item had been thoroughly discussed, on a proposal by Alderman LM de Bruyn and seconded by Councillor CT Cloete it was resolved as follows:

1. Council resolves that the Draft Annual Operating Budget of the municipality for the financial year 2026/2027 and indicative for the two projected Outer Years 2027/2028 and 2028/2029 be approved as set out on Tables A1, A2, A3 and A4.
2. Council resolves that the Draft Annual Capital Budget of the municipality for the financial year 2026/2027 and indicative for the two projected Outer Years 2027/2028 and 2028/2029 be approved as set out on Tables A1, A5 and SA36.
3. Council resolves that the Monthly Cash Flow Forecasts with appropriate amendments be approved as the Cash Flow Budget of the Council for the 2026/2027 financial year as set out on Tables A1 and A7.
4. Council resolves to adopt the “Spatial Development Framework” as part of the Integrated Development Plan, as regulated by the Municipal Systems Act (Act 32 of 2000), as directed in terms of Section 22 of the Bill on the Land Use Planning Act.
5. Council resolves that the Draft Tariff Charges are approved for the Financial Year 2026/2027. (Annexure A).
6. That the Final Monthly Indigent Subsidy in respect of 6kl Water, 70Kwh Electricity, Refuse and Sewer are approved and that the applicable free basic services subsidies be calculated on the approved tariffs for the applicable services and measurable units.
7. That it be noted that “Unfunded Functions” and “Underfunded Functions” are fully budgeted for at present service levels and in respect of Housing.
8. Council noted that Internal Division of Costs (Departmental Charges) are calculated based on expected budgeted time spent, measurable units/quantities, cost, and that tariffs are determined accordingly.
- 9.1 All Budget related Policies were reviewed and Council resolves to adopt the following Amendments to the Policies as per Annexure B:
 - 9.1.1 Rates Policy
 - 9.1.2 Credit and Debt Collection Policy
 - 9.1.3 Writing-Off of Irrecoverable Debt
 - 9.1.4 Indigent Policy
 - 9.1.5 Supply Chain Management Policy
 - 9.1.6 Supply Chain Management Preferential Procurement Regulations

- 9.1.7 Short-Term Insurance Policy
- 9.1.8 Asset Management Policy

9.2 Council noted that the following Budget related policies have been reviewed internally with no amendments:

- 9.2.1 Borrowing Policy
- 9.2.2 Creditor Policy
- 9.2.3 Virement Policy
- 9.2.4 Budget Policy
- 9.2.5 Payroll Management and Administration Policy
- 9.2.6 Infrastructure Policy
- 9.2.7 Funding and Reserves Policy
- 9.2.8 Cash Management and Investment Policy
- 9.2.9 Tariff Policy
- 9.2.10 Special Ratings Area Policy
- 9.2.11 Cost Containment Policy

9.3 Council noted the interrelated policy procedures/guidelines:

- 9.3.1 South African Local Government Bargaining Council – Main Collective Agreement

- 10. Council resolves that the Draft Procurement Plan is approved for the Financial Year 2026/2027 (Annexure C).
- 11. Council resolves that the updated Long Term Financial Plan are approved for the Financial Year 2026/2027. (Annexure D).
- 12. Council takes cognizance of the letter received from Provincial Treasury (Annexure E).
- 13. Council resolves that the Draft Tariff and Property Rates model for municipalities are approved for the Financial Year 2026/2027 (Annexure F).
- 14. Council grants approval for the submission of the proposed electricity tariffs to NERSA (Annexure G).
- 15. Council takes cognizance of the Service Standards (Annexure H).
- 16. Council takes cognizance that the assessment for cost-reflective tariffs as per the National Treasury tool will occur only following the approval of the Draft Budget and will be presented during the Final Budget approval.
- 17. Council takes cognizance the initial submission of the Inventory budget amounting to R 2.4 million; however, after thorough examination, it has been reduced to R 1.4 million to allocate funds solely for essential items.

18. Council takes cognisance that the ratio of employee-related costs is determined to be 35% of the budget amount, and that an initial staggered approach has been implemented regarding the funded vacant positions.

NOTE: Council expresses their appreciation to the Acting CFO, Mr A Riddles, Directors and Staff, for their efforts in compiling this 2026/2027 Draft Budget.

For finalization by the Acting Director: Finance, Mr A Riddles.

F. VERDAGING / ADJOURNMENT

Die vergadering verdaag om 12:20.
The meeting adjourned at 12:20.

NOTULE BEKRAGTIG OP DIE DAG VAN
..... AS PRIMA FACIE BEWYS VAN DIE
JUISTHEID DAARVAN.

MINUTES CONFIRMED ON THE DAY OF
..... AS PRIMA FACIE EVIDENCE OF
IT'S CORRECTNESS.

.....
SPEAKER














.....
DATUM/DATE

OPGESTEL EN BYGEHOU DEUR:
COMPILED AND RECORDED BY:















.....
M FAUL

BESTUURDER: KORPORATIEWE DIENSTE
MANAGER: CORPORATE SERVICES

SPESIALE
RAADSVERGADERING
SPECIAL COUNCIL MEETING
31 MAART / MARCH 2026

NAAM EN VAN / NAME AND SURNAME	HANDTEKENING / SIGNATURE
<u>Raadslede / Councillors :</u>	
Raadsheer/Alderman LM de Bruyn	
Raadsheer/Alderman CC Clayton	
Raadsheer/Alderman WH Wells	
Raadsheer/Alderman DA Appel	
Raadslid/Councillor CA Benjamin	
Raadslid/Councillor M Botes	
Raadslid/Councillor CT Cloete	
Raadsheer/Alderman S Fredericks	Apology
Raadslid/Councillor M Gana	Absent
Raadslid/Councillor DA Jacobs	
Raadslid/Councillor D Jooste	
Raadslid/Councillor H Linnerts	
Raadslid/Councillor JD Lekhori	
Raadslid/Councillor TP Lemina	
Raadsheer/Alderman BB Mkhwibiso	

SPEZIALE
RAADSVERGADERING
SPECIAL COUNCIL MEETING
31 MAART / MARCH 2026

Raadslid/Councillor M Mpambani	
Raadslid/Councillor MA Nomkoko	
Raadsheer/Alderman MR Nongxaza	
Raadslid/Councillor FO Ntantiso	
Raadslid/Councillor V Papier	
Raadsheer/Alderman M Plato-Mentoor	
Raadslid/Councillor MS Shale	
Raadslid/Councillor J Smit	
Raadslid/Councillor C Smith	
Raadslid/Councillor PJ Stander	
Raadslid/Councillor YM van Tonder	
Raadslid/Councillor TB Zimmermann	
<u>Amptenare/Officials:</u>	
Mnr/Mr W Hendricks	
Mnr/Mr GW Hermanus	
Mnr/Mr P Mabhena	
Mnr./Mr A Riddles	
Mnr / Mr H Matthee	